NCERT SOLUTIONS CLASS - 8TH





Class : 8th Subject : Civics Chapter : 5 Chapter Name : Judiciary

Q1 Do you think that any ordinary citizen stands a chance against a politician in this kind of judicial system? Why not?

Answer. Missing

Page: 56, Block Name: What Is An Independent Judiciary

Q2 List two reasons why you believe an independent judiciary is essential to democracy.

Answer. Missing

Page: 57, Block Name: What Is An Independent Judiciary

Q3 Write two sentences of what you understand about the appellate system from the given case.

Answer. Missing

Page: 59, Block Name: What Is The Structure Of Courts In India

Q4 Discuss the impact of the shortage of judges on the delivery of justice to the litigants.

Answer. Missing

Page: 63, Block Name: What Is The Structure Of Courts In India

Q1 You read that one of the main functions of the judiciary is 'upholding the law and Enforcing Fundamental Rights'. Why do you think an independent judiciary is necessary to carry out this important function?

Answer. It is necessary to have an independent judiciary to carry out the basic function of "upholding the law and enforcing Fundamental Rights" because the judiciary plays a crucial role in the functioning of India's democracy. It can function in this capacity only if it is independent of pressure from the legislature and the executive. This ensures two important things: one, the separation of powers between the judiciary, legislature and the executive can be maintained smoothly; two, any citizen can approach the courts of law if his/her Fundamental Rights are violated, without fear of disputes being solved in favour of those in power.

Page: 64, Block Name: Exercise

Q2 Re-read the list of Fundamental Rights provided in chapter 1. How do you think the Right to Constitutional Remedies connects to the idea of judicial review?

Answer. The Right to Constitutional Remedies connects to the idea of judicial review in its capacity of protecting the rights of an individual against the working of the State legislature or executive. The Right to Constitutional Remedies allows citizens to move the court if they think that any of their Fundamental Rights are being violated by the State administration. Judicial review implies invalidation of legislative or executive action if it is seen to violate Fundamental Rights. Hence, the Right to Constitutional Remedies and judicial review are inter-connected because the latter is practiced when a Fundamental Right is violated by the State. In this case, a higher court can repeal the judgments of a lower court based on its own investigation.

Page: 64, Block Name: Exercise

Q3 In the Following illustration, fill in each tier with the judgments given by the various courts in the Sudha Goel case. Check your responses with others in class.



Answer. Lower Court (Trial Court): Laxman, his mother Shakuntala and his brother-in-law Subhash Chandra were sentenced to death

High Court: Laxman, Shakuntala and Subhash Chandra were acquitted.

Supreme Court: Laxman, Shakuntala were given life imprisonment while Subhash Chandra was acquitted for lack of sufficient evidence.

Page: 64, Block Name: Exercise

Q4 Keeping the Sudha Goel case in mind, tick the sentences that are true and correct the ones that are false.

(a) The accused took the case to the High Court because they were unhappy with the decision of the Trial Court.

(b) They went to the High Court after the supreme Court had given its decision.

(c) If they do not like the Supreme Court verdict, the accused can go back again to the Trial Court.

Answer. (a) True

(b) They went to the High Court after the Trial Court had given its decision.

(c) If they do not like the Supreme Court verdict, the accused cannot go back again to the Trial Court since the Supreme Court is at the highest rung of the judiciary pyramid.

Page: 64, Block Name: Exercise

Q5 Why do you think the introduction of Public interest Litigation (PIL) in the 1980s is a significant step in ensuring access to justice for all?

Answer. The introduction of Public Interest Litigation (PIL) in the 1980s is a significant step in ensuring access to justice for all because it also keeps in mind the interests of the illiterate and poor who are not educated enough or cannot afford to access the Indian legal system for justice against exploitation or violation of their basic human and Fundamental Rights.

Page: 64, Block Name: Exercise

Q6 Re-read excerpts from the judgment on the Olga Tellis vs Bombay Municipal Corporation case. Now write in your own words what the judges meant when they said that the Right to Livelihood was part of the Right to Life.

Answer. In Olga Tellis vs. Bombay Municipal Corporation case, the judges said that the Right to Livelihood was part of the Right to Life. They stated that life does not merely imply an animal existence; it cannot be lived without a means of living, that is, "the means of livelihood". The judges conferred that eviction from a pavement or slum is deprivation of means of livelihood for the poor who cannot afford to live anywhere else. They take up small jobs in surrounding areas and to lose their pavement or slum would lead to loss of a job resulting in loss of a means of livelihood. Consequently, leading to "deprivation of life". This is how the judges connected Right to Livelihood to the Right to Life.

Page: 64, Block Name: Exercise

Q7 Write a story around the theme, 'Justice delayed is justice denied'.

Answer. DIY

Page: 64, Block Name: Exercise

Q8 Make sentences with each of the glossary words given on the next page.

Answer. (a) Acquit – The strong case in favour of Ravi led the High Court to acquit him of all charges of guilt that the Trial Court had levied on him.

(b) To appeal – The women's organization lent strong support to the domestic maid to appeal against charges of theft that her employer had accused her of.

(c) Compensation – The state government paid compensation to the victims' families after an unfortunate train accident that killed hundreds.

(d) Eviction – The company's claims to land occupied by slum-dwellers led to the poor people's eviction from their homes, which were bull-dozed by municipal authorities. (e) Violation- The judiciary takes stringent action against any violation of Fundamental Rights.

Page: 64, Block Name: Exercise

Q9 The following is a poster made by the Right to Food Campaign. Read this poster and list the duties of the government to uphold the Right to Food. How does the phrase "hungry stomachs, overflowing godowns! We will not accept it!!" used in the poster relate to the photo essay on the Right to food on page 61?



Answer. The duties of the government to uphold the Right to Food include providing for the poor who cannot afford basic meals; taking care of the elderly, widows, disabled and children who might not be able to earn a livelihood; and, ensuring that no one dies due to malnutrition or hunger.

The phrase "Hungry stomachs, overflowing godowns! We will not accept it !!" relates to the photo essay on the Right to Food (on page 61) because it highlights the droughts in Rajasthan and Orissa in 2001 when millions faced acute shortage of food even though government godowns were overflowing with grain which was being eaten away by rats. The People's Union of Civil Liberties filed a PIL in the Supreme Court against the guilty state governments who were then directed to provide more employment, food at cheaper rates and mid-day meals for children. In this sad situation of "hunger amidst plenty", the filed PIL helped bring relief to many.

Page: 64, Block Name: Exercise