



FOR CA FINAL EXAM PREPARATION

CA Final 2022 Question Paper · Paper 6C International Taxation

EXAM

CA Final

YEAR

2022

TYPE

Question Paper

SUBJECT

Paper 6C International Taxation

DATE

November 2022

**Final New Syllabus
Paper - 6 C
International Taxation**

NOV 2022

Roll No.

Total No. of Case Study Questions – 5
Time Allowed – 4 Hours

Sw
12/11/2022
6:15 PM

Total No. of Printed Pages – 24
Maximum Marks – 100

AGH

Answers to questions are to be given only in English except in the case of candidates who have opted for Hindi Medium. If a candidate has not opted for Hindi Medium, his/her answers in Hindi will not be valued.

The Question Paper comprises **five** case study questions.

The candidates are required to answer any **four** case study questions out of **five**.

Answers in respect of Multiple-Choice Questions (MCQs) are to be marked on the OMR Answer Sheet as given on the Cover Page of the answer book.

Answer to MCQs, if written anywhere other than the OMR Sheet on the cover page of the answer book, the same will not be evaluated.

Candidates should answer the Case Study Questions as selected by them in totality i.e., MCQ as well as descriptive Question of the same Case Study Question.

Candidates are not permitted to answer MCQs of one Case Study Question and the descriptive questions of another Case Study Question and vice-versa.

Candidates may use calculator.

All questions relate to Assessment Year (AY) 2022-23, unless stated otherwise in the questions / case studies.

AGH

P.T.O.

(2)

AGH

Marks

CASE STUDY : 1**IndoAm Ltd., a wholly-owned subsidiary of AmeriCo Inc.**

AmeriCo Inc., a USA-headquartered company, is engaged in the business of manufacture and sale of printer inks. It has set up a wholly-owned subsidiary in India namely, IndoAm Ltd, primarily to import a standardized printer ink product (Product A) from its parent company and resale in the India market. The products are being sold by IndoAm Ltd. through a wholesale distribution network in India, and products are sold in the same form as imported without any customization or value-addition. For FY 21-22, the Product A (with an MRP of INR 1,500 each) is sold by IndoAm Ltd to third party distributors at an average resale discount of 20%. Based on a TP benchmarking conducted by the Company, three independent comparable companies earn resale margin (gross profit over sales) of 14%, 22% and 19%, respectively from distribution/resale of similar products in India.

Transfer pricing litigations and APA for IndoAm Ltd.

During transfer pricing audit for FY 2018-19, IndoAm Ltd failed to furnish mandatory transfer pricing documents as required by TPO.

For AY 2014-15, the TPO made an adjustment of INR 1,10,00,000. The Company preferred an appeal with the Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeal) and recently received a favourable order that completely deletes the adjustment.

Considering the uncertainty and exposure from TP litigations, the Company applied and obtained an Advance Pricing Agreement (APA). After signing the APA on 1 June 2022, the Company filed an income tax return for AY 2022-23, wherein an adjustment of INR 2,36,00,000 was computed in accordance with the APA terms, and the same was offered for tax (due date for ITR was 30 Nov 2022).

SingInk Co., a Singapore-based Company

SingInk Co is a Singapore based company and is engaged in supplying a specialty printing ink to customers in different geographies. AmeriCo Inc. has entered into a Master Agreement with SingInk Co. and supplies to all affiliates of AmeriCo Inc. is being made by SingInk as per terms of this Master Agreement. Neither AmeriCo nor any of its affiliates, or SingInk participate directly or indirectly, in the management control or capital of each other. IndoAm also imports comparable product (Product B) from SingInk Co. for resale in India. IndoAm makes a gross margin of 18% from resale of Product B.

AGH

(3)

AGH

Marks

AmeriCo Inc's India branch

AmeriCo Inc. also has a branch office in India. The branch office is engaged in procurement of raw material from Indian suppliers. Apart from the procurement activities, the branch has also developed an online platform which facilitates online sale of various material and products related to ink, colour pigments and printer accessories. During FY 21-22, the India branch charged a fee amounting to INR 65,00,000 from IndoAm Ltd for the use of the online platform. The India branch also charged fee from various other AmeriCo affiliates (non-resident in India) aggregating to INR 52,00,000 for the use of platform.

During FY 21-22, AmeriCo has allocated INR 67,00,000 to the branch office as the expenditure (pertaining to centralized general and administrative functions) incurred outside India. The branch has computed its adjusted total income for AY 2022-23 at INR 9,55,00,000. Further, its average adjusted total income for 3 assessment years prior to AY 2022-23 is computed as INR 8,90,00,000.

Sub Part (a) – Multiple Choice Questions :**Choose the correct alternative for the following MCQs :****5×2
=10**

1.1 Whether IndoAm's import of Product B from SingInk shall be subject to determination of an Arm's Length Price (ALP) under the relevant provisions of the Income Tax Act, 1961?

- (A) Yes, as the transaction is an International Transaction between Associated Enterprises
- (B) No, as IndoAm and SingInk are not associated enterprises
- (C) Yes, as the transaction is a Deemed International Transaction
- (D) No, as the price agreed between the two enterprises, is a price agreed between two independent parties

1.2 What is the quantum of head office expenditure that AmeriCo Inc's India branch can claim in its income tax return for AY 22-23 ?

- (A) INR 67,00,000
- (B) INR 47,75,000
- (C) INR 44,50,000
- (D) INR 3,25,000

AGH

P.T.O.

(4)

AGH

Marks

- 1.3 What is the quantum of penalty that the TPO can levy for IndoAm's failure to furnish the transfer pricing documentation ?
 (A) INR 5,00,000
 (B) 2% of the value of international transaction
 (C) INR 5,000 per day for every day of continuing failure
 (D) 200% of the tax payable on under-reported income
- 1.4 What is the amount of Equalization levy that IndoAm Ltd. should deduct/pay in relation to payment to be made to AmeriCo Inc's India branch for the use of the online platform ?
 (A) 3,90,000 (B) 1,30,000
 (C) NIL (D) 6,50,000
- 1.5 In case the online platform was rather provided directly by AmeriCo Inc. without any involvement of its India branch in such activities (other facts being same), who would be required to ensure payment of equalization levy before making the payment to AmeriCo Inc ?
 (A) All payers to Deduct Equalisation Levy @6%
 (B) All payers to Deduct Equalisation Levy @2%
 (C) No action required as Equalisation levy is not applicable
 (D) AmeriCo Inc to pay 2% equalization levy

Sub Part (b)- Questions other than MCQs :

- 1.6 Based on the details provided, which method would be the most appropriate method for determination of the Arm's Length Price (ALP) for IndoAm's import of Product A from AmeriCo and why? Also, compute the ALP per unit of Product A applying the most appropriate method. **6**
- 1.7 Based on the details provided, whether the Primary adjustment of INR 2,36,00,000 offered by the Company in its income tax return require a "Secondary Adjustment" ? In case the excess money is not repatriated into India, compute the secondary adjustment under the possible options as relevant for PY 22-23 (assume that international transaction is denominated in INR, and the SBI MCLR on 1 April 2022 is 4.2%). **6**
- 1.8 What is the tax effect of the adjustment made by the TPO for AY 2014-15 ? Considering the tax effect, can the tax department file an appeal against the CIT(Appeal) order with the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal ? Explain. **3**

AGH

(5)

AGH

Marks

CASE STUDY : 2**ABC Inc., USA**

ABC Inc. USA is a US based company having Mr X and Mrs Y as its shareholders. Mr. X, a person of Indian origin, residing in USA holds 51% and Mrs. Y, an Indian citizen who is also residing in US, holds 49% shares of ABC Inc. Both the shareholders, Mr X and Mrs Y, are also director in ABC Inc. There are three more directors in ABC Inc. Two of these directors are Indian citizens residing in Mauritius and one director is a US citizen residing in USA.

The balance sheet of ABC Inc. for the year ended 31.12.2020 is as below :

Amount in USD (Millions)

Liabilities	Amount	Assets	Amount
Equity shares (including Reserves and surplus)	100	Investment in PQR LLC	80
		Bank	20
	100		100

During the FY 2021-22, three out of four board meetings were held in India wherein directors residing in Mauritius and US physically participated, except Mr X & Mrs Y. They were paid sitting fees for the same. Board meetings held in India were attended by either of Mr X and Mrs Y, on video conferencing and decisions were made on majority basis by directors. ABC Inc. is not engaged in active business outside India. All major commercial decisions were made in board meetings conducted in India. The turnover for ABC Inc for year ended on 31st Dec 2020 was equivalent to INR 100 Crs.

Mr. X and Mrs. Y

Mr. X and Mrs. Y visited India in the month of March 2021 and got stuck due to COVID-19 pandemic and they were in India upto 31st October 2021. All the Board meeting during such period were held in India and either of Mr. X and Mrs. Y participated in board meetings virtually and took part in making all decisions, including decision for sale of shares by PQR LLC.

AGH

P.T.O.

(6)

AGH

Marks

PQR LLC, Mauritius

PQR LLC is a Mauritius based entity, wholly owned by ABC Inc. PQR LLC made investment in XYZ Pvt Ltd in India, on 1st April 2016. PQR LLC is not engaged in active business outside India.

Mauritius and India have a Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement which is identical to that of the provisions in UN Model Convention, however changes as per exhibit A are made effective from 1st April 2017.

On 1st November 2021, PQR LLC sold its 100% holding in XYZ Pvt Ltd to a Singapore based company, LMN LLC at a price of USD 100 MN. (1 USD = ₹ 70 on 1st Nov 2021)

USD exchange rate on 1st April 2016, 1 USD = ₹ 40.

The balance sheet of PQR LLC for the FY ended 31.03.2021**Amount in USD (Millions)**

Liabilities	Amount	Assets	Amount
Equity shares (including Reserves and surplus)	80	Investment in XYZ Pvt Ltd	80
	80		80

The balance sheet of XYZ Private Ltd for the FY ended 31.03.2021**Amount in USD (Millions)**

Liabilities	Amount	Assets	Amount
Equity shares (including Reserves and surplus)	80	Immovable Properties*	90
Loan for Immovable Properties	10		
	90		90

*The fair market value of the Immovable Properties on the date of transfer is 100 Mn.

AGH

(7)

AGH

Marks

LMN LLC.

The Singapore Company, LMN LLC were managed by two joint MDs, Mr. Raja and Mr. Ram, both are citizen of India, residing in Singapore for last 15 years. They made this as strategic investment in India.

The Board of LMN LLC wanted to infuse more funds to carry out operations in India but they don't want to do this by way of equity capital. As an alternate they inducted fund as loan of INR 800 Mn on 1st November 2021, to XYZ Pvt Ltd at an interest rate of 7% which is as per prime lending rate of State Bank of India. During the FY 2021-22 XYZ Pvt Ltd has earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization INR 60 Mn. In the transfer pricing report, the transaction of interest payment was shown at Arm's Length Price. Out of total interest paid, XYZ Pvt Ltd failed to deduct tax on INR 13 Mn paid as interest to LMN LLC.

Mr. Raja

Mr. Raja, one of the directors of LMN LLC Singapore, visited India to oversee the operations in India on 15th November 2021 and stayed in India upto 31st March 2022. During the FY 2021-22, Mr. Raja has earned following income :

Nature of Income	Amount (INR)
Dividend from a company listed in Singapore.	7,00,000
Rental Income from house property in India	9,00,000
Interest income from NRE account in India	2,00,000
Capital gain from transfer of shares of a company listed in India	5,00,000

Exhibit- A**Article-13**

- 3A- Gains from the alienation of shares acquired on or after 1st April 2017 in a company which is resident of a Contracting State may be taxed in that State.
- Gains from alienation of any property other than that referred to in paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 3A, ... shall be taxable only in the contracting state of which the alienator is a resident.

AGH

P.T.O.

(8)

AGH

Marks

Choose the correct alternative for the following MCQs:**5×2****=10**

2.1 Based on the facts, which one is correct statement about Place Of Effective Management (POEM) of ABC Inc.?

- (A) POEM is in India as the majority of board meetings of ABC Inc were held in India and major commercial decisions were made in these board meetings
- (B) POEM is outside India as all directors have not visited India for board meetings held in India
- (C) POEM is in India as the majority shareholders are citizen of India or person of Indian origin
- (D) POEM is outside India as all the directors and shareholders are non-resident

2.2 Based on the facts of transfer of shares by PQR LLC, which one is a correct statement about accrual of Capital Gain which is chargeable to tax in India?

- (A) Capital gain shall not accrue or arise in India as shares were sold by non-resident to another non-resident.
- (B) Capital gain shall accrue or arise in India as shares of PQR LLC shall be deemed to be situated in India.
- (C) Capital gain shall not accrue or arise in India as the shares of PQR LLC were held by US company.
- (D) Capital gain shall accrue or arise in India as the shareholders of the ultimate company are citizen of India.

2.3 Whether Mr. X and Mrs. Y who visited to India in March 2021 become resident of India for FY 21-22 as per the provisions of Sec 6 of the Income Tax Act, 1961

- (A) No, because if any person stranded in India due to COVID 19 lockdown shall not be considered resident of India.
- (B) Yes, as they are Indian Citizen or person of Indian Origin
- (C) Yes, as their total stay in India during FY 21-22 is more than 182 days.
- (D) No, because even though they were in India, but they were managing the affairs of the foreign entity.

AGH

(9)

AGH

Marks

- 2.4 To determine the residency of Mr. Raja, the total income other than the income from foreign source, which one of the following is correct ?
- (A) All four sources of income should be included together.
 - (B) All sources, other than dividend income shall be included together.
 - (C) All sources, other than interest income from NRE account in India and dividend from shares listed in Singapore shall be included together.
 - (D) All sources, other than capital gain from transfer of shares of company listed in India shall be included together.
- 2.5 The XYZ Pvt Ltd seeks your advice regarding the disallowance of interest payment to LMN LLC.
- (A) Interest expenses shall be disallowed because tax has not been deducted on whole amount of such interest.
 - (B) Interest expenses of USD 13 Mn shall be disallowed and not the full amount shall be disallowed.
 - (C) Interest expenses deduction shall be restricted upto 30% of EBITDA, subject to fulfillment of conditions of tax deduction at source
 - (D) No disallowance because the transaction is at arm's length.
- 2.6 Considering the provisions of India Mauritius DTAA, whether capital gain of PQR LLC, arising from transfer of shares of XYZ Ltd is taxable in India. Whether your answer would be different if such shares are acquired on or after 1st April 2017. 6
- 2.7 ABC Inc., were contemplating the sale of shares of PQR LLC, as a part of their strategy to exit from Indian operations in the beginning of Financial Year 2021-22. In this regard, they are seeking an advice with respect to determination of the capital gain tax, if any, on the transfer of shares of PQR LLC.
- (a) In this regard, briefly explain whether any Capital gains shall accrue or arise in the hands of ABC Inc. on the transfer of shares of PQR LLC. 5
 - (b) Also explain, how the fair market value of asset shall be determined in this case 4

AGH

P.T.O.

(10)

AGH

Marks

CASE STUDY : 3

Heavy Business Ltd, a company incorporated in Mauritius, is dealing into various business segments involving heavy engineering expertise such as construction of bridges and dams, operation of aircrafts, exploration of mineral oils and shipping business in international waterways. Company is aggressively marketing in India to get some big projects in India as well as to take advantage of the Government policy for oil exploration and international aircraft to carry passengers and goods.

Construction of bridges and dams

On 1st September 2021, Government of India (GOI) awarded the contract for construction of a bridge for a contract value of USD 4,000,000,000. This is a joint agreement between India and Japan. It is agreed that 50% of the cost shall be borne by Japan Government. For execution of contract, M/s Heavy Business Ltd sub-contracted the same to an Indian Company Associate Ltd for a value of USD 2,000,000,000. Associate Ltd has 2 common directors with Heavy Business Ltd, out of total 4 directors. In the FY 2021-22, 25% work is completed and GOI made payment of USD 1,000,000,000. Heavy Business Ltd made payment of USD 750,000,000 to Associate Ltd. Heavy Business Ltd has also incurred other expenses worth USD 200,000,000 on procurement of material being used in execution of project during FY 2021-22.

Associate Ltd also hired an equipment from M/s Parrot LLC, based out of Singapore, for execution of contract and the lease rent of such equipment is USD 500,000,000 out of which Lease rent of USD 200,000,000 relates to FY 2021-22.

Mr. Ram, a citizen and resident of Mauritius, is an engineer by qualification and employee of Heavy Business Ltd. M/s Heavy Business Ltd deputed Mr. Ram in India for this project and he visited India on 1st November 2021. His family was in Mauritius and his salary in Mauritius is 10000 USD per month and social security contribution by the employer in Mauritius is 1000 USD per month. The same amount is being deducted as his social security contribution from his salary. During his deputation in India, Ram's family was receiving salary every month.

AGH

(11)

AGH

Marks

Operation of international aircraft

Heavy Business Ltd also got license from DGCA to operate international aircraft between India and Mauritius and after doing all the necessary formalities, the commercial flight operation started from 1st November 2021. For booking of air tickets, M/s Heavy Business Ltd started an online booking portal through which the air ticket booking can be done from anywhere. The collection details for the FY 2021-22 are as below :

Journey from	India (In USD)	Mauritius (In USD)
Ticket booking from		
India	20.00 Cr	30.00 Cr
Mauritius	40.00 Cr	50.00 Cr
Amount received for advertisement on aircraft	10.00 Cr	15.00 Cr
Ticket booked for journey in FY 2022-23	25.00 Cr	35.00 Cr

Heavy Business Ltd took 10 aircraft on lease from an Ireland based organization for a monthly rental of 5 MN USD. The company has also given contract to GMN Inc, a German company for the maintenance of these aircrafts and paid 10 MN USD for FY 2021-22 however no engineer visited India in FY 2021-22 and supported virtually. The German company also claimed that since they do not have any permanent establishment in India so no tax should be deducted on the payment made to them and accordingly Heavy Business Ltd had not deducted the tax.

(Assume India Ireland Treaty is based on UN Model convention, except the clauses provided as Exhibit at the end of Case Study)

Exploration of mineral oils

M/s KLM Ltd, a company registered in India got a contract from ONGC Ltd for exploration of mineral oils in high sea. For this purpose, they need helicopter for transportation of staff from the base station to the exploitation site. KLM Ltd contacted Heavy Business Ltd for the same and Heavy Business Ltd gave two helicopters on wet lease model for a monthly lease rent of ₹ 1 Cr. KLM Ltd also engaged YSR LLC of Singapore for providing food to the staff at the exploitation site and base station. For this purpose, YSR LLC had set up a kitchen for supply of food. For FY 2021-22, M/s KLM Ltd paid ₹ 9 Cr to YSR LLC, through banking channels and YSR LLC had also incurred all the expenses related to business through banking channels. YSR LLC maintained books of accounts and declared profit of 8% of the total revenue and filed the income tax return accordingly without audit of books of accounts u/s 44AB.

AGH

P.T.O.

(12)

AGH

Marks

India – Ireland Tax Treaty**ARTICLE 12****ROYALTIES AND FEES FOR TECHNICAL SERVICES**

1. Royalties or fees for technical services arising in a Contracting State and paid to a resident of the other Contracting State may be taxed in that other State.
2. However, such royalties or fees for technical services may also be taxed in the Contracting State in which they arise, and according to the laws of that State, but if the recipient is the beneficial owner of the royalties or fees for technical services, the tax so charged shall not exceed 10 percent of the gross amount of the royalties or fees for technical services.
3. (a) The term "royalties" as used in this Article means payments of any kind received as a consideration for the use of, or the right to use, any copyright of literary, artistic or scientific work including cinematograph film or films or tapes for radio or television broadcasting, any patent, trade mark, design or model, plan, secret formula or process or for the use of or the right to use industrial, commercial or scientific equipment, other than an aircraft, or for information concerning industrial, commercial or scientific experience;
 (b) The term "fees for technical services" means payment of any kind in consideration for the rendering of any managerial, technical or consultancy services including the provision of services by technical or other personnel but does not include payments for services mentioned in Articles 14 and 15 of this Convention.
4. The provisions of paragraphs 1 and 2 shall not apply if the beneficial owner of the royalties or fees for technical services, being a resident of a Contracting State, carries on business in the other Contracting State in which the royalties or fees for technical services arise through a permanent establishment situated therein, or performs in that other State independent personal services from a fixed base situated therein, and the right or property in respect of which the royalties or fees for technical services are paid is effectively connected with such permanent establishment or fixed base. In such case the provisions of Article 7 or Article 14, as the case may be, shall apply.

AGH

(13)

AGH

Marks

5. Royalties or fees for technical services shall be deemed to arise in a Contracting State when the payer is that State itself, a political sub-division, a local authority or a resident of that State. Where, however, the person paying the royalties or fees for technical services, whether he is a resident of a Contracting State or not, has in a Contracting State a permanent establishment or a fixed base in connection with which the liability to pay the royalties or fees for technical services was incurred, and such royalties or fees for technical services are borne by such permanent establishment or fixed base, then such royalties or fees for technical services shall be deemed to arise in the State in which the permanent establishment or fixed base is situated.
6. Where, by reason of a special relationship between the payer and the beneficial owner or between both of them and some other person, the amount of the royalties or fees for technical services, having regard to the use, right or information for which they are paid, exceeds the amount which would have been agreed upon by the payer and the beneficial owner in the absence of such relationship, the provisions of this Article shall apply only to the last-mentioned amount. In such case, the excess part of the payments shall remain taxable according to the laws of each Contracting State, due regard being had to the other provisions of this Convention.

Choose the correct alternative for the following MCQs :

5×2

=10

- 3.1 Which of the following statement is correct about taxability of payment made by Associate Ltd to Parrot LLC for hiring of equipment
- (A) Payments are taxable in the hands of M/s Associate Ltd
- (B) Income is not chargeable to tax in the absence of permanent establishment of Parrot LLC.
- (C) Income is chargeable to tax at the rate of 40% on Gross receipts in the hands of Parrot LLC
- (D) Income is chargeable to tax at the rate of 10% of the gross receipts in the hands of Parrot LLC.

AGH

P.T.O.

(14)

AGH**Marks**

3.2 Which of the following statement is correct about taxability of Salary Income of Mr. Ram in India ?

- (A) Not taxable in India as salary is received outside India.
- (B) Not taxable in India as Ram is an employee of M/s Heavy Business Ltd Mauritius.
- (C) Salary, received from 1st November 2021, is being accrued or arisen in India hence taxable in India irrespective of his residential status
- (D) Ram is RNOR and Salary earned outside India is taxable in India.

3.3 Which of the following statement is correct about the income of YSR LLC Singapore ?

- (A) YSR LLC is correct in declaring 8% income on gross receipts without getting audit done u/s 44AB as all the receipts and expenses are through banking channel.
- (B) YSR LLC can declare minimum 10% Income on Gross Receipts without getting the books audited u/s 44AB
- (C) YSR LLC is required to get the books of accounts audited u/s 44AB as turnover exceeds ₹ 1 Crore
- (D) Service provided by YSR LLC are not qualified for presumptive taxation.

3.4 Considering the India-Ireland tax treaty, the payment for lease of aircraft shall be taxed as :

- (A) Royalty income because as defined in Section 9(1).
- (B) Fees for technical services as defined in Section 9(1).
- (C) Business Income, after applying India Ireland Tax treaty
- (D) Not taxable after applying India Ireland Tax treaty

AGH

(15)

AGH

Marks

- 3.5 Which of the following statement is correct with respect to taxability of GMN Inc., German Company providing maintenance services to Heavy Business Ltd ?
- (A) Not taxable in the absence of permanent establishment
(B) Taxable as Fee for technical services
(C) Not taxable as the transaction is between 2 foreign companies.
(D) Taxable as Significant Economic presence established digitally.
- 3.6 Determine the taxable turnover of M/s Heavy Business Ltd for the AY 2022-23 in respect of operation of aircraft only. Comment on the taxing rights of income from operation of aircrafts and availability of Foreign Tax Credit between Source and Residence State basis UN Model Convention **8**
- 3.7 Compute the tax liability of M/s Heavy Business Ltd for the AY 2022-23 in respect of construction of bridges and dam only and also mention the requirements to be complied with for presumptive taxation of this income. **7**

CASE STUDY : 4**StyleYou (India)**

Ms. Saroj is the promoter and the Chief Executive Officer of StyleYou (India) Private Limited (also referred as "StyleYou" or the "Company"). Saroj currently holds 32% equity shares of the Company. Origami Finance, a company based out of Country "A", holds 30%, Fashionista Ltd, company based out of Country "B" holds 29% of the equity. The rest of the equity is held by certain key managerial personnel of the Company.

In FY 21-22, StyleYou has raised the following loans/borrowings :

- (a) On 01 May 2021, raised a loan of INR 15 crores from Bank of Tokyo, India branch, at an interest rate of 7.6%. The loan is guaranteed by Origami Finance.
- (b) On 01 June 2021, borrowed INR 65 crores from Fashionista Ltd at 7.9%. The loan is repayable over a period of 5 years.

AGH

P.T.O.

(16)

AGH**Marks**

The net profit of StyleYou for FY 2021-22 was INR 6.5 crores after debiting the interest on loans as mentioned above, depreciation of INR 1 crores and tax of 2.1 crores.

Holiday Home in Dubai

Ms. Saroj has been a frequent traveller to many places outside India to meet clients and participate in trade shows etc. In FY 2011-12, during one of her visits to Dubai, Saroj acquired time share interest in a Holiday Home. She paid AED 1.0 million as an upfront payment and AED 375,000 every year for the next 5 years to complete her acquisition of 25% share in the vacation property. She uses the same sparingly as she has been quite busy and taking very few personal days off. Given the availability of the holiday home and very little personal use of the same, in the recent years, Saroj allowed a real estate leasing company to use the holiday home to be rented off to vacationers and earn rental income from the same. The rental income was usually deposited by the real estate leasing company in a bank account maintained by Saroj locally in Dubai. As on 01 April 2021, the bank account had a total balance AED 1.01 million.

During a recent assessment proceeding for AY 20-21, the Assessing officer came to know about the foreign assets and foreign bank account of Ms. Saroj as certain rental income from Holiday home was credited in her Indian bank account. Based thereon, a notice under Black Money (Undisclosed Foreign Income and Assets) and Imposition of Tax Act, 2015 was issued to her on 30 December 2021. The market value of the timeshare in holiday home was AED 2.8 million as on 01.04.2021 and worth AED 2.7 million on 30.12. 2021. The exchange rates of Indian currency per 1 AED on various dates were.

01-04-2021 = INR 20.86

30-12-2021 = INR 20.29

When enquired, Saroj could not provide any reasonable explanation on the sources of money she used to purchase her timeshare interest in the property. Further, she could not show any other rental income from the holiday home being offered in the Income Tax Return in India in any of the previous years.

AGH

(17)

AGH

Marks

StyleYou, Bangladesh

StyleYou (India) has set-up a wholly owned subsidiary in Bangladesh, with a key objective of procuring fabric and other raw material. During FY 21-22, the company derived all its income from procuring fabric and other raw material from third-party vendors locally in Bangladesh and selling the same to its parent Company in India, having gross receipts of INR 45 crores during the year. It had 10 local employees (based out of Bangladesh) on its payroll, and two Indian residents (quality assurance manager and Chief Operating Officer). Out of total payroll expenditure of 20 million, the total payroll expenditure for the QA Manager and the COO is 12 million. It has assets in the form of computer equipment, software, leasehold improvements, and furniture, all of which is located in its company's office in Bangladesh.

Fashionista Ltd's employees visit to India

During the FY 21-22, Fashionista Ltd sent its employees Frieda and Sylvia to India to render production efficiency and quality assurance related training to StyleYou India's employees. Frieda and Sylvia, both arrived in India on 01 May 2021 for the first time ever and left on 25 May 2021. After that Sylvia had to visit India again to oversee the quality assurance functions as several of StyleYou India's export consignment were getting rejected due to quality issues. She arrived on 25 Sept 2021 and left on 20 March 2022. For the services rendered by Frieda and Sylvia, Fashionista Ltd charged a lumpsum fee of INR 2.35 crores from StyleYou Ltd. Frieda received a per day additional allowance of INR 12,000 per day in addition to her salary of GBP 78,000 from Fashionista Ltd. Sylvia received a per day additional allowance of INR 10,000 per day in addition to her salary of GBP 65,000 from Fashionista Ltd.

AGH

P.T.O.

(18)

AGH

Marks

Sub Part (a) – Multiple Choice Questions :**Choose the correct alternative for the following MCQs :****5×2
=10**

4.1 With respect to the Holiday home and its rental income detected during the FY 21-22, which of the following statements is correct ?

- (i) Undisclosed foreign asset would be liable to tax in the previous year in which such asset comes to the notice of the Assessing officer.
- (ii) The Black Money (Undisclosed Foreign Income and Assets) and Imposition of Tax Act, 2015 does not apply to a non-resident person unless such person was resident in the year in which undisclosed asset located outside India was acquired.
- (iii) No deduction is allowed from value of undisclosed asset located outside India unless the assessee can furnish evidence to the satisfaction of AO that asset has been acquired from the income which has been assessed or assessable to tax under the Act.
- (iv) The undisclosed foreign income and asset of any previous year included under the Black Money and Imposition of Tax Act would also need to be included in the computation of total income under the Income-tax Act, 1961 for the same previous year.

The most appropriate answer is –

- (A) (i), (iii) and (iv) above
- (B) (ii), (iii) and (iv) above
- (C) (i), (ii) and (iii) above
- (D) All statements are correct

4.2 With respect to loans and borrowings made by StyleYou and deduction of Interest claimed in computation of taxable income during FY 21-22, which of the following would not be considered correct regarding Base Erosion Profit Shifting (BEPS) Action Item related to Interest Deduction and Other Financial Payment ?

- (i) The Action plan calls for development of recommendation for the design of rules to be incorporated in DTAA's (Double Taxation Avoidance Agreements) to prevent tax base erosion through use of interest expenses and other financial payments.
- (ii) The OECD is concerned that MNC groups may locate third party debts in high tax countries so as to erode the tax base by way of interest expenses.

AGH

(19)

AGH

Marks

(iii) The BEPS Action Item report recommend a common approach to be adopted by countries that links an entity's net interest deduction to its EBIT (Earnings Before Interest and Tax).

(iv) The Common approach recommended by BEPS Action Item report includes three elements – a fixed ratio rule, a Group ratio rule and Targeted rules.

The most appropriate answer is –

(A) (i) and (ii) above

(B) (ii) and (iii) above

(C) (ii) and (iv) above

(D) (i) and (iii) above

4.3 What is the residential status of Frieda and Sylvia for AY 22-23 ?

(A) Frieda and Sylvia both are Resident

(B) Frieda and Sylvia both are Non-Resident

(C) Frieda is Resident, and Sylvia is Resident but not ordinarily resident

(D) Frieda is Non-resident, and Sylvia is Resident but not ordinarily resident

4.4 Which of the following is correct regarding taxability of the incomes of Frieda and Sylvia, in India, for FY 21-22 ?

(i) The remuneration received by Frieda for the services she rendered in India would be exempt from tax if (a) Fashionista Ltd is not engaged in any business or trade in India; and (b) her remuneration is not liable to be deducted from the income of Fashionista Ltd chargeable under the Income Tax Act, 1961

(ii) The remuneration received by Sylvia would be taxable proportionately for the time she spent in India.

(iii) The remuneration received by Sylvia will not be taxable in India if she chooses to offer her entire salary for taxation in her home country.

(iv) The remuneration received by Frieda for the services she rendered in India would not be exempt from tax in India if Fashionista Ltd has a Permanent Establishment in India.

AGH

P.T.O.

(20)

AGH

Marks

The most appropriate answer is –

- (A) (i) and (iv) are correct (B) (ii) and (iii) are correct
(C) (i) and (iii) are correct (D) All statements are incorrect

4.5 Assuming that the payment made by StyleYou India is neither characterised as royalty nor as fee for technical services under the DTAA between India and Country B. In such a case, which of the following statement would be considered as correct ?

- (A) StyleYou India needs to withhold tax @ 40% if Fashionista Ltd. has a Permanent Establishment in India
(B) StyleYou India does not need to withhold any tax given that Fashionista Ltd. is a non-resident
(C) StyleYou India does not need to withhold taxes as the payment is neither royalty nor FTS
(D) StyleYou India need not withhold taxes unless BFAR orders for withholding taxes

Sub Part (b) – Questions other than MCQs

- 4.6 Discuss the applicability of the provisions of limitation of interest deduction to various borrowings of StyleYou India. Based on the same, determine the total interest deduction allowable to the Company for AY 2022-23. 6
- 4.7 Compute the value of undisclosed asset chargeable to tax in the hands of Saroj under the Black Money (Undisclosed Foreign Income and Assets) and Imposition of Tax Act, 2015 4
- 4.8 Examine whether StyleYou Bangladesh meets the ABOI (Active Business Outside India) test. What additional facts need to be examined to determine whether StyleYou Bangladesh has a Place of Effective Management in India ? 5

AGH

(21)

AGH

Marks

CASE STUDY : 5**Consult Ltd**

Consult Limited, a privately-held Indian company, provides business consulting services to small business owners worldwide. The company has also developed a technology platform that help clients onboard freelance local consultants directly based on their needs ("DirectConsult" business).

Most of the employees of the Company work in India and provide consulting services to their clients in India as well as outside India. Certain employees are deployed outside India to oversee the DirectConsult business. In the DirectConsult business, the freelance consultants get paid directly by their clients and in-turn pay Consult Limited a 10% commission in lieu of the right to use the technology platform owned by Consult Ltd.

The Company avails technical services from PQR Inc. regarding regular upkeep and development of the DirectConsult software.

Maushmi, deployed outside India

Ms. Maushmi has been a long-standing employee of Consult Ltd. She has been deployed at various places outside India over the last 12 years. These past years, Maushmi has been visiting India on leave once every year from 20 Dec to 30 Jan to meet her parents. For the last many years including FY 21-22, she is liable to pay tax in Country A, where she is domiciled.

- Maushmi owned a flat at Mumbai (acquired by her on 10.10.2011 for INR 35 lakhs). She sold it off for INR 95 lakhs on 18.10.2021 to Dinesh, an Indian resident. The stamp duty valuation of the same is INR 90 lakhs as on the date of the sale. Maushmi paid a brokerage of 95,000 to an Indian local real estate agent towards the same.
- During FY 21-22, a total interest of INR 150,000 was credited to her Non-resident (External) bank account.
- Maushmi owns certain shares in listed stocks, which she sold off in FY 21-22 for INR 18 lacs. These shares were acquired in FY 2009-10 for INR 550,000 and earned a dividend income of INR 21,000 during FY 21-22. The fair market value of the shares on 31 January 2018 is INR 18.10 lacs.

AGH

P.T.O.

(22)

AGH

Marks

- Maushmi currently owns an apartment in Detroit, USA. Because she is currently not staying in Detroit, she has let out the same at rent of USD 900 per month in Detroit. (TTBR INR 58/1 USD as on 31 March 2022 and TTSR INR 62/1 USD on 31 March 2022).
- The cost inflation index for FY 2009-10 is 148, for FY 11-12 is 184, for FY 2021-22 is 317

Permanent Establishment in Country "B"

For FY 16-17 and FY 17-18, Consult Ltd had earned certain income from Direct Consult business from freelancers in Country B. Further, Maushmi has travelled to Country B twice for 10 business days each in the 2 years to interview the freelancers as well as to meet the clients. The tax authorities in Country B have alleged that Consult Ltd has a Fixed Place PE in that country as Maushmi has routinely used the office of the clients for her disposal. The tax authorities have got the Company to pay INR 58 lakhs as the tax due on profit attributed by them on the PE. Consult Ltd. is considering invoking Mutual Agreement Procedure on this issue.

Sub Part (a) – Multiple Choice Questions

Choose the correct alternative for the following MCQs : 5×2
=10

- 5.1 What does the tax paid by Consult Ltd in Country B related to the Permanent Establishment issue indicates ?
- Juridical Double Taxation.
 - Economic Double Taxation.
 - No double taxation as Consult Ltd can claim Foreign Tax Credit of the taxes it paid in Country B against the PE allegations.
 - No double taxation as Consult Ltd can claim relief in a MAP proceeding.

AGH

(23)

AGH

Marks

5.2 Which of the following statement regarding taxability of interest income earned by Maushmi is correct ?

- (A) Interest earned on credit balance maintained in a Non-resident (Ordinary) Account is exempt
- (B) Interest earned on credit balance maintained in a Non-resident (External) Account is exempt
- (C) Interest earned on credit balance maintained in a Foreign Currency Non-resident Account is exempt
- (D) Interest from any type of bank account maintained by a Non-resident individual with any bank in India is taxable

5.3 What is the nature of payment made by freelance consultants to Consult Ltd (assume applicable DTAA between India and respective Country is in line with the OECD Model Tax Convention) ?

- (A) Fee for Technical Services
- (B) Sales commission
- (C) Royalty
- (D) Other Income

5.4 Dinesh wants to understand whether he needs to deduct tax at source on payment to be made to Maushmi for purchase of the apartment. Which of the following is incorrect in this regard?

- (A) There is a requirement to deduct tax at source before making payment to a non-resident
- (B) Requirement to deduct tax at source would not have been if Dinesh was a non-resident himself
- (C) Persons responsible for paying any sums to non-resident are required to furnish information related to payment of such sums in prescribed form as per Rule 37BB
- (D) The tax is to be deducted at source at the time of credit of such income to the account of payee or at the time of payment, whichever is earlier

AGH

P.T.O.

(24)

AGH

Marks

5.5 Mutual Agreement Procedure (MAP) helps dispute resolution through bilateral negotiation. Which of the following does not work towards facilitating MAP/making MAP more effective ?

- (A) Section 90/90A of the Income Tax Act, 1961
- (B) TIEA (Tax Information Exchange Agreement)
- (C) Article 25 of the applicable DTAA
- (D) BEPS Action Plan 14

Sub Part (b) – Questions other than MCQs

5.6 What is the residential status of Maushmi for FY 21-22 from India tax perspective ? Which all income would be taxable given her residential status? Compute her taxable income and tax liability for AY 22-23. 8

5.7 If Consult Ltd. were to file for a Mutual Agreement Procedure (MAP), would it need to file application with Competent Authority (CA) of India or CA of Country B ? Explain legal provision supporting your answer. Under which situations can the access of MAP be denied to Consult Ltd ? 7

AGH